Senate of the Student Government  
November 1, 2017  
Campanile Room, Memorial Union, Iowa State University

## ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Represents</th>
<th>Roll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Isaiah</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibiloni</td>
<td>Juan</td>
<td>IRHA</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothell</td>
<td>Julie</td>
<td>GPSS</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinkman</td>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaudhry</td>
<td>Norin</td>
<td>GPSS</td>
<td>EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>Kylee</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culbertson</td>
<td>Kelsey</td>
<td>CALS</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eret</td>
<td>Chelsea</td>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freestone</td>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>UEXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juma</td>
<td>Lilian</td>
<td>IRHA</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettelkamp</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimle</td>
<td>Nathan</td>
<td>CALS</td>
<td>EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirner</td>
<td>Jonathan</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuta</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Dozmen</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMichael</td>
<td>Alyssa</td>
<td>IRHA</td>
<td>UEXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mian</td>
<td>Aleeza</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moss</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadeem</td>
<td>Humair</td>
<td>GPSS</td>
<td>EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauman</td>
<td>Claire</td>
<td>Human Sci</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neilson</td>
<td>Kathryn</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origer</td>
<td>Rachel</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paszkiewicz</td>
<td>Karnyth</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rankin</td>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheu</td>
<td>Wyatt</td>
<td>Human Sci</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schrader</td>
<td>Jacob</td>
<td>IRHA</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>Hannah</td>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipley</td>
<td>Zoey</td>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenhoek</td>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>Campustown</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theisen</td>
<td>Connor</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>UEXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tupper</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utterback</td>
<td>Jack</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmuth</td>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>Fred. Court</td>
<td>EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whaley</td>
<td>Rachel</td>
<td>UROC</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodruff</td>
<td>Cody</td>
<td>IRHA</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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07:00:07 – CALL TO ORDER:
07:00:12 – ROLL CALL:
07:00:36 – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:
07:01:42 – PROGRAM:
07:01:44 – STATE RELATIONS OFFICER:
07:01:53 – Faylor: Hi everyone, I am your state relations officer. I am basically a lobbyist, but with a fancy title. I am a BoR employee. I own more ISU stuff than UofI so I am officially an ISU member. I represent issues that Iowa State faces. To back up, I started January 1st. At 2 weeks in, they cut $30 million from state universities. I am trying to find a way to make these things work. A lot of our work is to maintain relationships up at the capital. We help negotiate our legislative priorities. We managed that first hit pretty well. We also worked really hard on a medical amnesty bill. We are looking to get it re-designated to someone who would be a better advocate for it. We are excited because I know that you are in support of it.
Half-way through our session, they were trying to take away our money for the Student Innovation Center. We saved $6/9 million dollars for the Student Innovation Center. Things that are coming up… upping the tuition. We have done a good job of showing how efficiently we use the money that we get and that we don’t really have money laying around. I can tell you that this 7% increase is just not feasible. We are hoping for Medical Amnesty, and an increase in tuition, or rather not a tuition cut.
$130 million shortfall ended up only being a $13 million shortfall. There are some ways that we could get some money here still. So there is a thing called a revenue estimating conference. Super boring, but it enables us to see what kind of budget we will be working with.
Board of Regents needs to read in the tuition increase twice. The plan is to have an idea during December meeting.

07:10:37 – Smith: Leopold Center?
07:10:43 – Faylor: It was a surprise. It came up late in the session. It is still unclear of where it started and why it happened. I sit outside of Branstad’s office for two weeks. I was eventually able to pass along that Leopold Center is known worldwide, we have an endowment for it. I don’t think that there will be an attack on it any more. It would be very vindictive to go after it in the future. It is in its own zone.

07:12:29 – Smith: What can we do here?
07:12:48 – Faylor: It is great when it is Iowa State Day. However, a smaller group is better. Having groups of two is the best. Keep it to three points and keep it very simple. Always compliment them first. Make it personal as well. They love it when students come up to them. You are able to tell your stories of how it affects people. Everyone got to tell all of their stories. I love the stories, I love hearing them. If you have a story, I wanna hear that.

07:15:16 – Lee: So you said that the 7% is not happening? How can you be so sure?
07:15:36 – Faylor: We knew that walking in, we had to hit the highest you can because you know you aren’t going to get it. Best case scenario… 7% would be great. We knew we weren’t going to get it. Governor said it in a press conference as well.

07:16:42 – Baker: Medical Amnesty… got held up by an opposition of a few members? Is there a way to come at it from a different direction.

07:17:32 – Faylor: The key is to get to leadership. It is rare to have people all on the same side. It is really on the house to move it forward. Part of the reason we lost it was because it was so late in the session. There are a lot of casualties due to patience wearing thin. It is an interesting dance.

07:19:05 – Bibiloni: So in terms of how we allocate for the university for budget allocations. How do we convince the state that we are worth funding?
07:19:37 – Faylor: We have been getting some bad rep lately. I was in Stillwater Oklahoma this past week to talk with the Big XII. We have this country club mentality, which misses the point of what Higher Education funding. What do we do that encourages people to give back to Iowa. What you can do is to come back to those stories that the Gov is talking about. I am still learning about all of the things Iowa State is doing every day. We are really giving so much back to everyone. Make sure you talk to your local delegates. They do forums every weekend. Make sure you communicate.

07:22:47 – Lekhwani: You mentioned something about how the tuition meetings happen over the summer. I feel that students are not here to really talk about things. How are we supposed to overcome that issue to get those task forces done when students are here.

07:24:20 – Faylor: Yeah, there were complaints about that. We are looking to get more feedback and involvement from students during that time.

07:24:52 – Neely: 3 big challenges that Higher Ed faces upcoming and the next 5 years.

07:25:19 – Faylor: Explaining that more money is needed for better class sizes and teachers. There was a tenure bill that started because a Senator had a son that was in a class where a professor walked into a class, told them that it was the last they would see of him, then left. I see that we need to change our perception of Iowa State. To me, that is one of the biggest challenges we face. Land Grant means that we want everyone to come here. They help pay for tuition. Free speech and hate speech, and the line where it lies. We need to protect students and protect free speech at the same time. If you wanna come down and hang, I will use you! I would love it. I love having students down there. It is great for everyone. If you have any kind of bug, then check it out.

07:29:11 – OPEN FORUM:

07:29:41 – Steenhoeck: I wanted to give a little background for a speaker that was supposed to be here. I will give a little background, then let Tony cover some stuff. So City was looking to limit residency in some parts of the community. One option is per off-street parking space. That's what my resolution is asking. Please consult with me so that I may make a better bill.

07:32:02 – Tony: Old practice was discriminatory because of familial status. We finally got it passed. Cities all got together to try to figure out what they were going to do. The first idea was to regulate based on student status. We were in opposition, this is pretty bad. So with parking spaces, we run into other issues. Private Parking also runs into issues of turning students into second class students. If you speak up loudly, then the City has to listen to you.

07:34:39 – Olson: It has been great working with these people at City Council. They helped us to help present a unified voice for students. I hope that you all take a lot of consideration into this bill.

07:35:39 – McNew: Talking about limiting on rooms?

07:35:54 – Tony: So it will be based on Parking Spaces, or the Rooms.

07:36:59 – McNew: Basing it on parking spaces doesn’t make sense because we don’t live in parking spaces.

07:37:22 – Tony: So the language used is very frustrating as well. We need students to voice their concern,

07:37:28 – Theisen: Enforcement?

07:37:43 – Tony: Inspections every 3 years. Right now, if they found out that you were not complying, the fines were at $500/day. A new City Inspector was just brought on. We were in favor of more enforcement. If there is an issue, we would like to see more enforcement. Campus impacted areas may get annual inspections

07:39:34 – Theisen: Doesn’t this affect affordability?

07:39:52 – Tony: We think it would push people into a “donut” around campus.

07:40:09 – Smith: Come to the debate tomorrow with mayoral candidates.

07:40:24 – Scheu: What would you feel most comfy with on a limiting factor?
07:40:48 – Tony: Pipedream is better enforcement, less occupancy ordinances. We’ve only had 10 complaints in 12 months. We need the city to step in where they are needed, and leave it there. Number of bedrooms is good, or square footage is also a fair guideline. We went to a conference that asked if we should regulate based on type of property, or person. Of course it should be the property. If we get rid of this property. There is now an arbitrary 5 person cap. We are looking to get rid of the parking restrictions, and get to a better place.

07:43:11 – Olson: We are looking for a fair and pragmatic way to look at this. What we are currently supporting is that.

07:43:41 – Neilson: When is the proposed date that this would go into effect?

07:44:01 – Tony: January 1st, 2018. This was voted on in April, but is only being rushed to now. Another thing to mention was the regulation stated that landlords were not allowed to add extra parking spaces or extra bedrooms.

07:45:00 – Neilson: What about leases signed that are in violation of this?

07:45:23 – Tony: There is no plan to grandfather. The moratorium on new rental licenses was something that went to another debate that night. They wanted to pass it all in one night. The moratorium is for not granting people new licenses in Campus Impacted Areas. The first thing coming out of an association member’s mouth was that the state’s handling of this would accelerate the destruction of neighborhoods.

07:47:18 – Bothell: What age do we consider people a person?

07:47:32 – Tony: Dependents. Sub-18 does not add to the limit. These parking spaces are discriminatory and unfair for students.

07:48:19 – Lee: How does this work with independent leases?

07:48:30 – Tony: We are talking single family homes and duplexes. There are overlays of certain neighborhoods. If you are zoned as a certain thing, then you may fall under a different category.

07:49:07 – Steenhoeck: Please read the bill. Talk to people. This could affect you years down the line.

07:49:34 – Valentino: Cyride Board of Trustees. There are new routes in the making. In Nov. 16th, they are doing a new presentation. Some of these routes may not serve certain areas. We worked hard for this efficiency. Reach out to me or Bibiloni.

07:50:44 – Lekhwani: City Council candidates coming tomorrow at 8pm in the Sun Room. We are going chalking next Wednesday. Some of you have great snapchat accounts @doz. We need more outreach.

07:51:48 – Lee: Picture to upload to snapchat?


07:52:15 – Doeing: Graphic sent out soon.

07:52:20 – Rankin: Chalking, Don’t chalk on steps.

07:52:35 – Lekhwani: Guidelines to be sent out.

07:52:55 – Zirkelbach:

07:58:24 – Lee: This plan that you are working

08:00:38 – Zirkelbach:

08:00:41 – Baker: I had a discussion with the NYT earlier today with a student that is there to dedicate themselves to this mission. With that in mind, we do have a strategic plan going forward to fund it. I have a ton of info, just ask me about it. Apologies in advance, I think that it would be unfair of me to talk all about my viewpoints on this.

08:03:48 – Freestone: Will be tabling director positions.

08:04:01 – AMENDING AGENDA:

08:04:07 – Shipley: SEATING EC to BOTTOM OF SPECIAL ORDERS.
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08:05:14 – Moss: Funding BSA to top of general orders.
08:05:26 – MINUTES:
08:06:18 – Smith: Perf.
08:06:21 – COMMITTEE REPORTS:
08:06:28 – RULES:
08:06:30 – Shipley: Went over rules.
08:06:43 – FINANCE:
08:06:49 – Valentino: Some bias, some no bias, some favorable, some unfavorable. Reviewing P+C and Bylaws.
Name Tag form sent out.
08:07:47 – UA:
08:07:57 – Eret: One seat open. Hit me up.
08:08:16 – PR:
08:08:17 – Steenhoek: Button Campaign. Changes have to be made. Photo next week. Promotion Package Plan. We will have a free, cheap, and more expensive plans. That way we can choose packages. Study Cups as well. Hats as well.
08:10:23 – DIVERSITY:
08:10:52 – Neely: Working out details with Tree of Oppression. We have seated, or will be seating Senator Juma to be on our committee. We also have a student athlete sitting in on our committee.
08:12:01 – AD-HOC:
08:12:04 – Baker: Thanks for coming out and helping us with voter registration. Advertising the forum tomorrow night for the City Council and Mayoral Candidates. Flyers all over campus on the weekend.
08:13:37 – Woodruff: 49 is a big number for local elections. Tomorrow night is a big night to get people informed. Do it in classes, tell people to come and go to that. We will email you the day of.
08:14:35 – COMMENTS FROM EXEC BRANCH:
08:14:43 – West: November 9th is our joint town hall. Campus Climate survey has been extended to November 7th. Cyclone Market is being transitioned to Cyclone Carnival. StuGov is not an event planning organization. This won't be any more funding from StuGov.
08:17:11 – Smith: Gender-Inclusive Bathrooms on Campus. We are looking to get signage for students. We are looking to get new buildings to include Gender-Neutral bathrooms. We should focus on this in the future.
08:19:24 – Lee: Cyclone market to carnival being shifted from StuGov?
08:20:18 – West: Yes, StuGov can't really handle it.
08:21:15 – Smith: Looking to add something that Restores Tradition.
08:21:32 – SPECIAL ORDERS:
08:21:37 – AT-LARGE TO FINANCE:
08:21:46 – Woodruff: QUESTION. SECOND. UC.
08:22:27 – SEATING MEMBERS TO ELECTION COMMISSION:
08:22:57 – Fair: They're great. I talked to CWeezy. They can speak for themselves.
08:23:30 – Dirks: This is my 5th year. Dilly Dilly.
08:23:46 – Riswick: First year PoS. I want to get involved in elections.
08:23:59 – Collins: Hello again. A Jr. in Civil Engineering. I was commissioner last year and 2 years ago.
08:24:22 – Lee: I'd like to divide the question... by threes? Objections. Withdrawn.
08:25:11 – Baker: Divide question on first two and last one. Object. Withdrawn.
08:25:36 – Eret: Thanks for stopping by. Why do you wanna be on EC?
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08:26:02 – Riswick: I wanna get more people involved. I have ideas on get out and vote pushes.
08:26:18 – Dirks: Sounds like y'all needed another person. If I can help, I will.
08:26:36 – Collins: Continuing from last year, I want the Elections to rise in Voter Turnout. Last year was much better than previous years. Let's continue that trend upwards.
08:27:07 – Scheu: Question. Second. Object. 24-3-0. PASSES.
08:29:49 – FUNDING BSA:
08:30:09 – Neely: 3rd year for a winter formal. This is a continuation of Markus Flynn's idea. We are coordinating with LGBTQIA folks and Black Accountants. It has been successful in the past.
08:31:42 – Neilson: Is this a fundraiser.
08:32:05 – Neely: It is coming from ticket sales.
08:32:42 – Valentino: This is from the events account.
08:32:54 – Neilson: Precedent?
08:33:00 – Valentino: We do fund Dance Marathon.
08:33:14 – Neilson: Decorations?
08:33:18 – Neely: Estimate of what it will cost.
08:33:43 – Bibiloni: $20 attendance fee? How will it be criteriaized?
08:34:12 – Neely: GPA, financial need if we can, and an application.
08:34:33 – Bibiloni: Through BSA?
08:34:41 – Neely: Yes.
08:34:43 – Scheu: We have to waive the bylaw. Motion. 11-14-4.
08:37:59 – Scheu: Motion to change from events to excellence fund. Second. Object.
08:39:36 – Scheu: Let's change so that we can fund this.
08:40:29 – Lee: Okay with this. Bibiloni.
08:40:42 – Bibiloni: Comfy with a vote.
08:40:55 – Baker: As long as we are doing the Excellence Fund. I think we should fund this as it does adhere to Excellence Fund. QUESTION AMENDED.
08:42:09 – Baker: Yield
08:42:15 – Schrader: We didn't fund it, so how did it still happen.
08:43:07 – Neely: Contacted theatre. It wasn't as great as it could be.
08:43:35 – Woodruff: Tickets for events?
08:43:53 – Valentino: No clear direction on philanthropic events. DM and UTD do not charge for entry.
08:44:26 – Woodruff: Are we okay with still charging a ticket price. Is it okay to use this fund to still fund ticket prices? That is where the discussion lies.
08:46:03 – Kuta: Org is great. I struggle with a ticket price.
08:46:35 – Scheu: If they were coming to ask for $4,000 for a scholarship, I would take issue with it. Though it does charge ticket prices. That's why this meets our criteria. How did you advertise it?
08:48:05 – Neely: Advertise on social media. Looking for A-Frames and stuff. Doing it better with better funding.
08:49:08 – Rankin: I have a blank slate. This meets excellence fund. If there is a ticket price, then we are laundering money, in a way. This sparks new interest. I do not feel comfortable funding a ticket price that goes to a scholarship. I don't think that we should charge a cost.
08:50:30 – Origer: Disregarding the scholarship, would the ticket sales help out?
08:51:14 – Neely: It would put us in dire straits.
08:51:30 – Eret: Great event, what if people can't afford to buy those tickets.
08:52:11 – Neely: Costs go down as we get closer so that more people can get in.
08:52:28 – Eret: No issue with ticket sales. If org chooses to make a fee that raises a scholarship, then they can do that.
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08:53:06 – Kirner: From what I have heard from the body. We don't want to launder money for a scholarship. Can we fund it without ticket costs.
08:53:38 – Neely: We would be giving them an event, and getting a scholarship out of it.
08:54:29 – Kirner: I see it as a worthy cause. Free-will donation as opposed to incurring a cost?
08:55:09 – Neely: I don't know who would donate.
08:55:51 – Kirner: Others have mentioned that we are funding an event where the money is going towards a scholarship. I think people would be more comfy with a pay-what-you-want-type thing.
08:56:56 – Neely: We switched accounts to enable us to fund the event with tickets?
08:57:38 – Neely: I think we could certainly remove the scholarship idea.
08:58:16 – Neilson: Uncomfy with funding a Student Activities Fee for Scholarships. We always try to keep track of the funds when funding. Everyone has said what I have said. Yield.
08:59:08 – Bibiloni: Question, This is a first year deal?
08:59:57 – Neely: Yes.
09:00:02 – Valentino: Why it wasn't funded. No excellence fund. We didn't fund it because we didn't have the out of the excellence fund.
09:01:43 – Bibiloni: We need to fund things that are open to all students.
09:02:37 – Neely: We can scratch the scholarship for it.
09:03:47 – Bibiloni: Where does that funding go?
09:03:55 – Baker: Extend debate. 15 Minutes.
09:04:35 – Shipley: Valentino.
09:04:42 – Valentino: I think it is disrespectful to tell them that we should curtail their event. I don't think it is right.
09:06:01 – Schrader: Yield to Shay.
09:06:10 – Scheu: Scholarships. Money will be smartly used. This is meant to reach out to members of BSA. This benefits students that need it.
09:10:18 – Zirkelbach: Student Org Scholarships have an issue with finding people with it.
09:10:42 – Lee: Remind the senators from the excellence fund. They are hosting the event. These are two separate pools of money. This was created so that people can do stuff. Most students that this applies to is in BSA. Question. No second.
09:12:37 – Baker: I agree that we should not amend out anything. Please correct me if I am wrong. No debate to be had on money laundering. Or whatever. The event itself has merits.
09:15:00 – Eret: Our money is going to a winter formal. This is being held to the standards of the events fund. We fund things like this already.
09:17:16 – Kirner: I would like to disagree. Funding this event is to fund the fun event, but the event already denies students access for students. If the org requires an extra thing to go to it, it limits students from using the funds that are supposed to be used for them. Students have to pay for the event twice. I disagree with this. Propose amendment, this is based out P+C for other accounts, but I have heard others that we would never fund a fundraiser or a scholarship through the Excellence Fund. There are reasons. Motion to amend. 18-10-4. Discussion on amendment.
09:20:12 – Burton: A piece that bothered me. A lot of programs are not targeted to other groups of students. We are taking the initiative that other departments take to provide funds. Everyone pays.
09:21:55 – Smith: This is a vote on discussion.
09:22:05 – Kirner: We are interested in funding this fundraiser. We need to consider this as such. I want to see debate on this.
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09:23:17 – Baker: The nature of the amendment is great. It has piqued my interest. Tickets are sold as a free-will donation. Will this fulfill your goals?

09:24:19 – Burton: Option to fund the scholarship through goodwill donations. I want this scholarship to happen.

09:25:41 – Neely: I want this motion to come up.

09:28:08 – Baker: Willing to compromise, but you want to be fully funded. I am against this amendment. This at least gets them something. This is a good compromise.

09:28:55 – Smith: 3 Minutes.

09:27:14 – Lee: Don’t cave. This is disheartening. I find it off-putting.

09:28:27 – Time: I am expired. Vote on amendment. FAILS. BILL AS WHOLE..PASSES. 22-7-0.

09:31:20 – BYLAW CHANGE:

09:31:53 – Baker: Basically there was a mistake in the bylaws. This requires people to go to the retreat. Formalizing this. Move to amend.


09:36:06 – Steenhoek: Recess for 10. RECESS.

09:47:38 – BACK TO THE MEETING:

09:47:45 – QUORUM CALL: 29 HERE.

09:49:39 – CREATING A DIRECTOR OF STUDENT ORG OUTREACH:

09:49:58 – Freestone: Table. TABLED.

09:50:39 – NEW YORK TIMES:

09:50:44 – Baker: We are a great University, and we are interested in bringing great journalism to Iowa State. I am asking StuGov to fund it. I am choosing to fund this because this is showing we are committed to funding that. The resources are there to publicize this. In the last year, we have done next to nothing to push this. We can do better because we have resources. We have a student with a lot of resources here to promote it.

We also have Curtis Brundy here tonight that can talk on this thing.

09:55:22 – Lee: This is a great resource. This is objectively good, and is a scholarly resource. We should fund this. It is useful and available to students. Must be promoted. Much like the library, this is a resource that I don’t use but it is great to have.

09:57:05 – Woodruff: Looking forward to a great debate about this. Great outreach. I do not want this to be an echo chamber. Bring up issues and concerns first. My personal views, I spoke with IRHA and we had a great discussion, and out of 30 people, only 2 people were against it. Some utilized, others did not. Last year, was my price tag, but that was alleviated. PR was also an issue. We recognized the failures of the past year, our own failure. We are also looking down the road to look at WaPo, NYT, and WSJ. Funding down the road, but we need to focus on the subscription for tonight. After all the work been done, I am comfortable with what has been done.

10:00:21 – Culbertson: I am enthused to get on board with this. The PR push is great.

10:00:34 – Kirner: Addressing Baker… In my experience, salespeople have been great at communication until they get your money.

10:01:31 – Baker: Yes, we can do well to PR this. I trust Tamra. I would also say that the position on campus for the Student Outreach Manager gets us a great thing. We have our own plans in tandem with the NYT.

10:02:31 – Kirner: How do you see this PR going?

10:02:42 – Steenhoek: Yes, absolutely. So that promotion package plan will lend itself well here. PR will be more productive. If we were given a Yes today… People have been outstanding to get people on board with this. Everyone is committed to the success. PR efforts will be much more effective this time around. We have 2400 people that will continue to use it. Greenley School already promotes it. With all of that. Yes.
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10:04:35 – Kettlekamp: Very important for our institution to have a high-caliber paper on campus. Pew Research Center in 2014, 65% of people have Left-leaning views. 12% have moderate or conservative. I am concerned that this.
WSJ audience is about evenly split.
Baker, would you like to have the WSJ?

10:06:32 – Baker: Editorial boards are sorta all over the place. WSJ and NYT have great coverage. However, they lack if only the only subscriptions there. NYT is that push for high-quality debate. You bring a great point to debate.

10:07:30 – Kettlekamp: Readers who chose this source are more leaning than others. If we could only fund one or the other. WSJ, may be the better one.

10:08:08 – Scheu: I wanna give props to everyone working on this. It is great to have people that are working so hard. Promotion. We have to promote an experience. It was hard for people to say yes to regularly using a NYT subscription. In perspective, could students better utilize this funding? We should also consider the WSJ subscription as well.

10:11:09 – Schrader: We need to pay this money to fund good journalism, NYT is doing fine. There are plenty of other articles that are there for free. PR is not an issue.

10:12:12 – Brinkman: Promotion is one of my biggest concerns. Questions. How long has the current rep been the rep?

10:12:52 – Baker: Tamra is NYT Rep. Russ is here since last semester.

10:13:08 – Brinkman: How long is this person here for?

10:13:18 – Baker: At least until next semester.

10:13:27 – Neilson: Lots of points. Talked around and talked around that we fund things for not all students not all the time. I think that $35,000 is an amount that we need to reconsider. Baker, will the resource be there?

10:14:17 – Baker: Contract ensures promotion since the Marketing Manager is here with resources they can only use for promotion.

10:14:51 – Neilson: Really reputable source. High-Caliber? NYT does not cover STEM articles too well. I think that needs to be taken to mind. Majority don’t use it, but some have to for class. A lot of politically engaged people are on campus. My last point that it is important of all of the back grounds that you come from. The generalities of the total student populations. Take into account personal biases.

10:17:43 – Paszkiewicz: I see a huge benefit for this. I see how all the students can use it. I talked to many leaders in the CoE and they were not really okay with it. Many did not use it or see the benefit of it. I personally did not use it.

10:19:02 – Bibiloni: Something that has not been brought up are what resources are available through the library. We have the daily and others. What does the lib have?

10:19:58 – Brundy: We have NYT and WSJ coverage. But the experience is very difficult.

10:21:11 – Bibiloni: My constituents were in favor of this. How are we doing a better job at this? We ALL have to be aware of what is happening around us. Yes the NYT may not have the best coverage of tech and science, but having varied news sources is important. This is a resource that is great for everyone. Not just PolS majors.

Students at Carver did not like. I don't think we should support a Left-Read Newspaper. WaPo does not view the NYT that centrist.


10:28:09 – Eret: You have commented on Journalism and PolS. That argument is an invalid argument. PolS as liberal leaning is irrelevant to the debate. We have lacked a lot of things last year. But we are making up
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for them this year. This is a recurring benefit. Lib databases is not really news. It is already a few days old. NYT is in the interest of having a great interest in being informed. I think we have a plan and we are a different Senate this time. We can create a culture that many people can take advantage of.

10:31:47 – Utterback: I talked to a lot of people, a broad spectrum. They asked why they need the New York Times. Turn on incognito to go around it. Get unlimited. You don’t need it. Majority said they don’t need it. It is fairly balanced views. I’mma vote no.


10:32:52 – Baker: Political Science background. I am a PolS student, but that does not mean what my major entails. Hopefully that cuts that off. If we don’t fund this, we can fund something else. Of course using this funding could mean we could fund something else. If you could propose $35k to supplant this, then please do. Incognito mode, it does enable you to have more than 10. It's morally wrong to do so. I am bringing this to body so that the Free Press is free in the monetary sense. Not all students use this. This fantastic resource is something that is not impacted by others using it. NYT is available to all, all the time. There is a lot of merit to funding this.

10:37:29 – Steenhoek: I promise it will be promoted well. New rep is awesome. It is important to be informed. People are paying attention more. We recognize the value of the news. Though the NYT is not the best for Science and Tech, they still have to be informed. It is good to be informed on all news. If students truly do not want it, then they can still be more active. I want a second chance at this. My promote package plan. Free, advertising through chalking, flyers, tv screens. Club Visits. Videos. Passive and Active forms of promotion. First paid option. There is more posters. We can get a big increase.

10:41:43 – Moss: On the fence. Why is it on us to fund “good news”.

10:42:33 – Shipley: We need to bring the discussion back to the benefits of this. Archives again. Those are far more beneficial than is realized right now. This is a great resource for students. It benefits students if we have it. You can find your resources in other places. Free stuff is there. Free stuff is clickbait in many ways. This is a good academic resource. This is a good, inclusive resource.

10:45:04 – Theisen: It would be great if people would go out and find this for themselves. PEW reseach, 34% use apps or websites. 3,300 that would use a website to find news often. This is becoming harder and harder to vote yes on this.

10:46:52 – Smith: Is the bill correct?


10:47:49 – Kirner: Same position as Scott Moss. I knew there were a lot of benefits. Is this within the purview of student government. We have a civic engagement committee that has an interest in informing the people here at Iowa State. I am not in journalism or PolS. I know that as a Citizen, we are all obligated to vote for people in our community and country. It is our obligation to do so. We support all sorts of things open to students. This is definitely a few.


10:53:54 – Culbertson: An example of good reporting. In NYT, when the truck hit people. They update the article and do not lean one way or the other.

10:54:47 – McNew: Consent of Senate. To say that there was no PR with this is not necessarily true. We didn't know what we were doing. To say there was no PR work done is wrong. There was a lot of students that utilized it; and some that did not. At what percentage are you comfortable with? I personally see that as having more intrinsic value to the university. This is for this, this is for that. You have to pick a lane and find the motivation for this. For academic purpose, I do not believe it to be the role of Senate. I would have voted no last and this year.
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10:58:17 – Scheu: If it is brought up, then it is worthy. I am all for breaking down barriers for info. People will choose their own news source. We are asking people to change habits on this. I will end on these points. Woodruff,

11:00:32 – Woodruff: Lots of arguments. Students do want this. We talked to IRHA, then we talked to many different halls. All of them have expressed the same interest. Students in ResHalls come from diverse backgrounds. Reps are super on board. If I, Cody Woodruff, paid for this, it would be $52/year. That means that we have received $125,000 worth of subscriptions. Having this, even with biases. This could be a waste of money if people don’t use it. The Pew Research talks about those students that students may use it more based on their usage. Just because not ALL students use it. NYT is more than just “Today’s News”. We cannot get lost in our echo chamber. To answer Moss’s questions. We do fund the ISDaily. I want people to be informed of all news. We are trying to create informed people. This is the core of higher education. It is to inform and educate so that they may help all others around them.

11:06:16 – Kuta: WSJ is something that is a good alternative. I am not sure whether funding this would close the door to the WSJ, or open it.

11:06:47 – Lee: “tries to do straw poll” “can’t” What about the MU bathrooms. Not all students will use this bathroom. However, that doesn’t mean we should push it out. MU is a representation of a good tool we have at ISU. We should not get rid of it.

Rankin, what were your samples from?


11:09:15 – Lee: That is a problem to pull from such a small size of students. Can we get info on demos and usage?

11:10:14 – Baker: Not concrete, but they are looking to open it up.

11:10:48 – Lee: Does this objectively provide info that all students have access to? Curtis, how many students check out books at the library?

11:11:25 – Brundy: I can’t answer, but subsets of course use it.

11:12:07 – Bibiloni: Extend debate by 15. PASSES.

11:13:08 – Neilson: I really want to clarify that some people have taken out of context. I mentioned that a lot of people latched onto the wrong point. You can get free emails from news. Also, some classes use the NYT for this. Is it StuGov’s place to provide academic resources. I don’t think it is correct to say that no PR was done. Some say it would be wonderful. As an IRHA rep, I can tell you the before and after of the NYT, many people talked about how it was the biggest waste of money because they did not use it. The Daily is actually a great thing to have. I think that Rankin’s points were valid.

11:17:44 – Steenhoek: Some points. It is our responsibility to get students this resource. No it is not a majority. But it is a group. Flying Cyclones was funded for $12,000 for < 100 students. Anyone can join, but there are not 34,900 in that org. This is 3x that amount and could serve more students. We do have the funds for this. We have 100s of thousands that we could a lot to students, but did not. We can persuade horse to drink.

11:20:30 – Rankin: Few points. Eret, people misconstrued my point. I am saying that these majors are more into the news. Why are we supposed to promote civic engagement? As far as the horses thing goes, pretty funny. People can take advantage of free sources.

11:24:06 – Bibiloni: With current rates, we are paying $15/student/subscription. This should be much better. There is a plan for a better number.

Senator Woodruff, Schrader, and I visited two res halls and IRHA. For the most part, they were extremely supportive of this. One was divided because of free resources. That does not mean that we should not pay for more resources. The NYT wants us to come back. They need us so they have an
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interest in this. They know that they messed up last year. They know that if they screw up again, then will be cut loose.

11:27:49 – Baker: I apologize to Neilson. We did not have a strategic plan for this. We need a better plan.
11:29:18 – Schrader: Only thing I have is a win win. $35k is a steep price.
11:29:43 – Scheu: We paid 1/3rd and got 100. ROLL CALL VOTE. 12-17-0. FAILS.
11:36:02 – APPORTIONMENTS:
11:36:08 – Zirkelbach: Legit missed like all of this due to popping my cherry… coke.
11:36:30 – Lee: Questions. Second. UC. PASSED.
11:45:00 – CALL BACK TO ORDER:
11:45:17 – FUNDINGconstituency COUNCILS:
11:45:37 – Moss: FINANCE AMENDS. PASSED.
11:48:02 – Moss: OUR AMENDS. These amendments help with checks and balances. Postpone 1 week.
11:51:58 – SEATING ACCOUNTABILITY:
11:52:03 – Freestone: This does things to make us better.
11:53:53 – OPEN EDUCATION RECOMMENDATION:
11:55:05 – Bibiloni: *whispers* shame on you for assuming.
11:58:24 – Bibiloni: Constituents were definitely for this.
11:59:09 – Woodruff: Anything to offer to this bill?
11:59:52 – Brundy: Not really. I don’t mind hanging out. Also, this was the best thing I have heard in terms of debate on informational resources.
12:00:34 – Neilson: Perhaps have the second resource before the first. Lines 18 and 22. Channeling the Isaiah.
12:02:01 – Isaiah: Sick Dabs.
12:03:21 – Baker: Amend the last name in.
12:05:33 – AKP FUNDING:
12:05:39 – FUNDING BLACK STUDENT GRADUATE ASSOCIATION:
12:05:54 – CREATING COLLABORATIVE OFFICE HOURS:
12:06:03 – Baker: *Reads the Bill*
12:06:21 – Rankin: Collaborative one day or others.
12:07:26 – FUNDING GRANDMA MOJOS MOONSHINE REVIVAL:
12:07:39 – Kirner: Good stuff.
12:07:58 – PANHELLENIC HOUSING BYLAW CHANGE:
12:08:12 – Cox: Going to meet.
12:09:05 – ELECTION CODE:
12:09:09 – Scott: Cosigner?
12:10:54 – Rules: I’mma take a break and not every apply during this.
12:11:07 – FAIR OCCUPANCY IN AMES:
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12:12:40 – Steenhoek: "reads it in"
12:13:42 – YOGA CLUB:
12:13:46 – CLOSING ANNOUNCEMENTS:
12:14:03 – McNew: Good debate.
12:14:53 – Baker: Tomorrow is candidate forum. Bring people. It's awesome. Thanks for those who debated me. We are looking into other funding models. I still care and hopefully you do too.
12:15:37 – Scheu: I don't wanna be sad. My dads dog. #PrayForPuppers.
12:16:00 – Neilson: Secret Santa.
12:17:25 – Steenhoek: 13 people max. 9-10 people. Sometimes more. Look at this staff report. City wants to lower density in rental areas. Rental units down from 80% to 20%
12:19:23 – Fair: Alex has been here all night. She has already worked 11 hours today. Claps. Don't say that something is a great event because it is D+I. That is not a good thing to merit it on. In Solar Car, being called a woman demeans what I mean.
12:22:31 – Woodruff: Good job talking to others. Take care of yourselves. Eat well. @Cody Smith. Sleep Well. @Cody Smith.
12:24:15 – Zirkelbach: Thank you all tonight <3
12:25:39 – Shipley: Thanks all!
12:26:09 – MEETING ADJOURNED: